G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

~~1918.~~ 1898-99

(Murai)

File No. 98

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Lease of the Kumarkatte land assigne as inam to the Temples at Bannur & Somanathpur, T. Narsipur Taluk

PREVIOUS REFERENCES LATER REFERENCES.

(General Serial No. 30.)



1898-99

From The Dy Commissioner
Mysore Distrct

To Govt

The ~~Chief~~ *Secretary to the* ~~Dewan~~
of Mysore

Dated Muzrai Dept.
Despatched 13 March/99
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. ____
No.

Forwarding Roobkari Soliciting orders as to whether Muchalika for the lease of Kudi-reer Katte lands has to be taken from Battiah and Sarangapani Pillay or from Battiah and Sree-nevasa Rajkumarachar under the present circumstances.

16/3/99

ಕ್ರಯಾಮು
8 ಎಕರೆ 1/2 ಗುಂಟೆ

ಕ್ರಯಂಬ ಕೈಂಠಿ

ತಲುಚಿ ರಾಂಯಲು ಯುಲಂಪಾಂ ಡೆಲ್ಲಿ

ಪಿ ಕ್ರಯಂಪ್ಪನಕಿ ರಿಜ್ರಿಸ್ಟ್ರೈ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು

ಬಿ ಕ್ರಾಂಠಿಪಲು 13 ನಿಮಾಂಡಿ ಮಂಪಿಂಚಿನ

1899 ನಾಯಿ ಸನ್ನಿ.

ಮಾಂಕ್ಷಲ್ಲಂ ಕ್ರಯಂಪ್ಪನಕಿ

ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪುಲು

ಕ್ರಯಂಪ್ಪನಕಿ

ಪಿ ಕ್ರಯಪು ಸಂಗಡ್ಲು ನಿಲಕಡಿಕಾಯದ ಕ

ಲಾಂಛನಂಮಾನ್ಗಿ ಮಟ್ಟಾಂಪುದ್ವಾರಾಯ ಪೆಂಡ

ಲು ಪಡಿಪ್ಪೈಯುಂಟು. ಇವ್ವ ನಾಂಪುಲೆಯುಂಡಿ ನು

ನಿದಾರಿಂ ಪುಟ್ಟೈಯುಂಟು. ನಾಕಾಂಟಿಮಾಂ ಪಿಲ್ಲಲ

ಯುಂತರನಾಳ್ಳು. ನಿಮಾಂಪುಪಡಿನಿ ನಿಲುಮಾಂಡಿ ನಾ

ಡಾಪಿ ಮಲುಪುನ ಕಿಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಂಡವುಲಕುಂಟಿಮ್ಮು ಲಾಲ

ಲಿಂಡಲು ಂ ಮಾಂತರಮ್ಮಾಡಿಕಿ ನಾಮ ನಿನ್ನ

[illegible] 200/ [illegible]

2) [illegible] 160 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 100. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 200. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

ನಾನು ಕಾಲು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಿಂದ ಬಂದಿದ್ದೇನೆ
ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು? ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷ ಸ್ಥಾನವನ್ನು
ಕಾಲು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಬದ್ಧತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು
ಹೀಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಸಿದ ರಂಗಕರ್ಮಿಗಳು
ಇದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು? ಇದನ್ನಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು
ದಲಿತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾಬ್ಲಿಮೆಟಿಕ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಎನ್ನುವ
ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಕಾಲು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಿಂದ ಬಂದವರು ಎಷ್ಟು
ಎಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಗೆ ಇರಬೇಕು ಎಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಗೆ ಕೆಲಸ
ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಹೋದವರು
ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಸ್ಫೂರ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ
ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು
ಹೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು? ಅಥವಾ ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಹೋದವರು
ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು
ಹೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು? ಅಥವಾ ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಹೋದವರು
ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು

ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ನಿವೃತ್ತಿ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೇವಾ ಪುಸ್ತಕ

ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳ ಸೇವಾ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು

ಕೆಲವು ದಿನಗಳ ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ ಪಡೆದು ಅವರಿಗೆ

ಇವರು ಸೇವೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅವಧಿಯ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು

ದೃಢೀಕರಿಸಿ ಮರಳಿ

No. 1082
Mur 798-93
Dt 19th March 1899
Encl: 1 file V. P.

To,
The Dy Commr
Mysore Dist.

Sir,

With reference to your Rubkari No. 85 Dt 13th March 1899 requesting orders as to the persons from whom a Mutchalika should be taken in respect of the lease of the Kunnirkatte land assigned as Inam to the Temples at Bannur and Somanathpur, I am to draw your attention to the orders conveyed in this office Rubkari No. 1509 Dated 17th June 1896 observing that the land might be given over to the possession of the lessees Devaiah alias

Battiah and Sarangapani Pillai after obtaining from them,

(a) a joint Muttchalika written out on proper stamp paper as per terms of the draft therewith sent and duly registered, and

(b) a sum of Rs 200 - equivalent to the estimated value of a year's Gutta to be held as security for the regular payment of the Gutta amount year by year;

and to enquire why in spite of the foregoing order Battiah and Sarangapani Pillai were allowed to enter into actual possession of the land

and cultivate it, before they executed the required ~~[illegible]~~ Muchalika and paid up in full the Security amount of Rs 200.

2. A perusal of the records betrays grave irregularities in respect of the foregoing and many other points. I am therefore to request that you will be good enough to go into the whole case yourself personally and submit a detailed report (in English) for the orders of Govt. giving therein a clear history of the whole case and full information

on the following points:—

(1) On what date was the land given over for cultivation and to whom — whether to Battiah, Sarangapani Pillai or to both jointly?

(2) Why were not the required Mutchalika and the Cash Security of Rs 200- taken from Battiah and Sarangapani Pillai before cultivation of the land was actually permitted?

(3) How much Gutta has been recovered in cash and kind? by whom have the payments been made? where is the money now held? and what further amount is due to the temple up to date?

(4) The records make
reference

reference to a Civil Suit instituted by Sreenivasa Raghavachar Jodidar of Ekkalagere in respect of the lease of the land in question, under what circumstances was the Suit instituted and with what result?

3. As the question demands early attention and disposal I am to request that you will expedite the submission of the report called for above

4. The Vern: records are retd

I have &c

(Fair draft)

Mury: Secretary

M

1898-9.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
~~Revenue and General~~ Muzrai Department.

Dated 12th
Despatched } June 1899
Received

General No. 209.
No.

Enclosure No. 1.



Refer as/ 14 6 99

Sd/- 15-6-99

Furnishing the particulars called for in connection with the lease of the Kunnur Katte land assigned as Inam to the temples at Bannur and Soma-nathpur.

Vide no 817 dy 28/6/99

No. 209
Enclosure No. 1.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 12th June 1899.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
~~*Revenue and General*~~ *Departments.*
Muzrai

SIR,

With reference to your letter No 1082 dated 19th March 1899 calling for a report regarding the lease of the Kumeri Katte land assigned as Inam to the temples at Bannur and Somanathapur, T. Narsipur Taluk, have the honor to state as follows:—

Your Rookhari No 1509 dated 17th June 1896 which accompanied

accompanied the approved draft of the Muchalika directed that the land might be given over to the possession of the lessees Ballah and Sarangapani Pillay after obtaining from them a joint Mucha-lika as per draft and the deposit of Rs 200/ but a careful perusal of the records shews that the land had, under the authority of Roob-kari No 861 dated 29th January 1896, been given possession of to Ballah and Sarangapani Pillay by the Amildar before the receipt of Roobkari No 1509 under reference, and the formal Sagunali chit was issued on the 25th June,

that

that is after receipt of the
latter Roobkari. From the date
of issue of the Sagwali chit the
lessees have been required to
appear before the Taluk Cutcherry
to execute the Muchalika but
to no effect. On the pretext that
a portion of the leased land had
been encroached upon by the holders
of adjoining lands, and on the
ground of absence from Taluk
of one or the other of the lessees
after the whole extent of the leased
land was put in their possession
in July 1897 they omitted Comply-
-ing with the requisition of the

Amildar

Amildar.

3- Adverting to the points referred to in para 2 of the letter under reply it will be observed that

1. the land was given over for cultivation to Balliah and Sarangapani Pillay jointly under Sagunali Chit dated 25th June 1896.

ii Out of the fixed amount of security viz Rs 200/- only Rs 160/ was first recovered from Balliah and subsequently the balance of Rs 40/-. also. The full amount of security Rs 200/ is held in deposit the execution of the Muchalika

has been delayed on account of the non-attendance of the parties in spite of several notices served on them. Perhaps the delay could have been avoided had the Amildar not given over the land to the possession of the lessees before obtaining the Mucha Ika from them in pursuance of the order No 112 dated 1st/3rd Feb 1896 from this office

iii 29 Kandies and 15 Kolagas of paddy were received as Gutta for Durmukhi 1896-97, the first year of lease, the balance 5 Kandies and 5 Kolagas having been with-held by the lessees on the

ground that the full extent of land had not been put in their possession that year.

For the two subsequent years the full Gutta of 35 Kandies has been recovered at the close of each year, so that 99 Kandies and 15 Kolagas were received in all for the three years the land has been leased out.

Out of the said 99 Kandies and 15 Kolagas received as Gutta

7 Kandies for Durumkhi

12 Kandies for Kevilamb

and 9 Kandies for Vilandi

were utilized for the Pradhana of the temple and the remaining

quantity 71 Kandies and 15 Kalagis were sold and the proceeds amounting in all to Rs 372-0-0 have been held in the Savings Bank deposit at the credit of the temple.

IV Copy of judgment passed by the Sub Judge presented by Ba Than before the Amildar which explains the circumstances of the case and the findings of the judge is herewith submitted.

4- In conclusion I am constrained to state that no dispensation whatsoever would have existed if the Government had

had leased out the lands from
year to year instead of on
kayem-gutta tenure.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
Servant.

[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

The Deputy Commr
Mysore Dt

Dated. 28th January 1901
No 817
Mysore 98-93
Encl 1

His letter No. 209, dated 12th June 1899, regarding the lease of the Kummur Katte land, attached as Inam to the temples at Bommur and Somanathpur in T. Narsipur Taluk;

Before passing orders on the subject, the under signed is to ~~request~~ enquire into ~~in~~ whose possession and enjoyment the land in question has ~~now~~ passed since the death of the lessee Bettiah, who is reported ~~to have been~~ ~~was of late~~ murdered a few months ago,

and whether the patta due for the years Vikárí and Sárvarí has been paid up, and if so, by whom and how the money is now held.

2. A very early reply is requested.

3. Enclosures retd

By order

A1

Asst Secy.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 20-3-01
REG. NO. 578
MUZRAI BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
MUZRAI BRANCH
REG. NO.
Date
RECEIVED

From The Dy Commissioner

To The Private Secretary to the Govt of the Mysore Muzrai Dept.

Dated 18th March 1901

Despatched 19th March 1901

Received 20th March 1901

General No. 153.

No.

Enclosure No.

Re Forms regarding the Recovery of Cost of the Iruvakki Canal Machine as Loan to the Cultivators at Kannur and Kuvenalu Kere, Hosdurga Taluk.

a/ 20/3/01

Papers

Mazrai Department
Mysore District

No 10153 Dated the 18th March 1901.
19th

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Mazrai Department.

Sir

With reference to your
Circular No. 817/98-93 dated the 28th
January last, I have the honor
to report that subsequent to the
death of Battiah, lessee of the
Hunnur Kalle Land, the land has
been in the possession and enjoy-
ment of ~~Battiah~~ his brother
Thimmanniah, who has paid
up the Cyst for the years Vikari

1st January. Of the 70 Kandies
already due for these 2 years,
24 Kandies have been given for
the Padithara of the temples
at Bammur and Lamana tha-
-sun, and the balance, namely,
46 Kandies sold and the proc-
-eeds thereof, amounting to Rs 266-0-0
have been held in
the T. Narsipur Co-op Savings
Bank to the credit of the temple

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Mutanna

for Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

Murzeed.

744 dy 24/10/02. To,
Inward No. 9893

The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 153 dated 18th March 1902, reporting that subsequent to the death of Ratiah, lessee of the Kunnirkatte land assigned as Inam to the temples of Balamma and Somanathpura, the land has been in the possession and enjoyment of his brother Thimmaniah who has paid up the Sutta for it.

Vikari and Sarvari,
and that the balance - Viz Rs 266 --
of the sale proceeds
of the Gutta paddy after
meeting the Padikara
of the temples, has been
deposited in the Savings
Bank to the credit of
the temple, I have the am directed
~~have~~ to enquire whether a fresh
Muchalika written
out on proper Stamp
paper, has
~~as per terms of~~
~~Award~~ ~~and~~ been ~~produced~~ obtained from
Thimmanaiga and
duly registered.
2. Was the Gutta

for the year Plans
been paid up? In
reporting upon this
point- I am also to request
that you will be so
good as to state in
what stage the question
now stands and whether
~~it demands disposal~~
~~by Govt.~~ any orders
of Govt- are necessary

Thanks

Dft- 23/10/02

Secy
Mines Dept.

DS

MUZRAI

1901

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated 30th December 1903
Despatched
Received
General No. 1868 & 1869
Muzrai F. No. 98-1893
Enclosure No. 1

In continuation of this office no 7144 Dy 28.10.02, has Muz 98-93 the honor to forward herewith Petn no 1794 Dy 13.4.03 from Srinivasa Raghvachar of Hakkalagere T. Narsipur Taluk re the Kunnin Katte land assigned as Inam to the temples at Bannur and Somanathapur and to request a very early reply.

Dft. B.O. 29/12
Dy: Secy:
Muz: Dept

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

Endt to the Petnr.
28/12/03

1905-06

From
N. N. Sparkes Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
L. Anantasawmi Rao Esq B.A.
Secy. to the Govt of Mysore
Municipal Deptt

Dated 12 th June 1906.
Despatched 13
Received
General No. 614
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Submits further particulars re lands assigned as Inam to the temples at Bannur and Somanathapur in the T. Narsipur Taluk.

1/
15/6/06
ys

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
5347
MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT

Dbl-sent
ks
3/7/06

Issue the draft
YL 3/7/06

No 614
In one file

Mysore Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated Mysore 12/13 June 1906.

To

The Secretary to the Government
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 744- Muz 7 98-93 dated the 24th October 1902 calling for further particulars regarding the land assigned as inam to the temples at Bannur and Somanathapur in the T Narsipur Taluk, I have the honor to state that Timmanna is reported to have not appeared before the Taluk Amildar and executed the mutchalika in spite of 4 notices served on him (Vide papers nos. 202, 204, 207 and 209).

2 It is reported that the gutta for the year Plava and Subhakrith (1903-04) has been recovered and after deducting 12 handies of paddy due to the Sri Ramadevaru temple as mamool, the remaining paddy has been sold and the sale proceeds deposited in the Savings Bank

2 Account.

3 The gutta for the year 1904-05 is reported by the Amildar as having not yet been recovered and that the matter is under correspondence.

4. The Amildar reports that the lessee contends that only 4 ac - 35 gr out of the land sanctioned in Government Proceedings no: 1222-4 / Mus Z 98-93 dated 17th May 1894 (Vide Serial Nos: 120 and 128) have been put in his possession and that it is inconvenient for him to pay the full gutta until the remaining 36 guntas are also put in his possession.

5 The Bank Inspector who was deputed by the Amildar to measure the land states that only 28 guntas have to be given to the lessee. In view that the land might be measured accurately the Amildar requests that a mojindar be deputed for the purpose.

6. Orders are solicited in the matter of submitting a scale of Expenditure for the Sree Rama Devarru temple and in the matter of making over the produce of the portion of the Kumur Katte inam lands granted to Sri Soma

Nathu

Somanatheswara temple at Somanathapur to the Mulas Bhaneswara temple at D. Narsipur which is reported to be an ancient temple enjoying only a small cash dk, and about which a separate report has been submitted by you with this office letter no 613 dated 12/13th June 1906.

7 The file of Vernacular records ending with yadi no: 637-670 dated 30th November 1905 received from the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Hemavathi group of Taluks is herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Dy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

2 Offs

No 92 Confidential

Dt 7-7-06

To

The Dy Commr

Mysore District

With reference to his letter no 614 dated the 13th June 1906, furnishing particulars regarding the land assigned as Inam to the temples at Bannur and Somanathapur in the T. Narasipur Taluk, has the honour to observe that necessary action should have been taken against Thimmiah, who is reported to have not according to Rules

appeared before the
Auditor and executed
the required mutakulika,
in spite of 4 notices
served on him - The
Dy Comr is requested
to take immediate steps
in this direction and report the action thus
taken

2. The cutter for
the years 1904-05 and
1905-06 should al-
ready be recovered and
the sale proceeds credited
to the funds of the respective
Institutions, after
meeting the ~~proposed~~ sanctioned expenditure
.

3. In the matter of
the additional extent-
of 36 cuntas of land
claimed by the lessee
to make up the extent-

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Sanctioned in the Govt order of the 17th May 1894, a Surveyor may, as required by the Amildar, be arranged to be deputed for the purpose of an accurate measurement of the land in question

4. A scale of the proposed expenditure in the Sree Rama Swamy Temple at Ramnad out of the proceeds of the assigned lands should be submitted at an early date, as the question is pending for a long time.

5. As regards the utilization of the portion of the produce of the land granted to the Sree Somanatha-Swamy Temple at Somanathapuram for the Archakathinmarkkam

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

Temple at- T. Narasipur,

Separate orders will issue on his letter no 613. dated 13th June 1906.

The enclosures are herewith returned

By order

Dpl- 3/7/06

Secretary

Muzrai Dept

Ks 3/7/06

Muz. 1]

190

9/11/07

From

To

The Dy Commr

Mysore Distt

Dated

Despatched 13.11.07

Received

General No. 2320

No.

Enclosure No. One

Abstract of

~~Referring to~~ this office docket no 92, dated 4th July 1906, requesting the submission of a detailed report in regard to the strip of land assigned as Inam to the temples at Bannur and Somanathapur in the T. Narsipur Taluk, has the honor to forward herewith petition registered no 1000 dated 29th August 1904 from Thimmana of Arakere in the Channapatna

G P L—3,000- 19-9-07

Taluk The petitioner represents that owing to competition between Belliah and Ukkalagere jodidar Sreenivasaraghava lohar (both since deceased), the annual gutta on the said land was raised by the former to 35 Khandies of paddy; that the annual yield from the land does not exceed 23 Khandies of paddy; and that he has suffered loss owing to failure of crops raised on the land and prays that he may be permitted to give an annual gutta of 25 Khandies of paddy for future years and a muteharika taken from him to that effect.

2) The Dy. Commissioner is requested to ~~expedite~~ Submit a ~~the submission of the~~ detailed report ~~already called for~~ on the petition and also on the points raised in this office docket No. 92 of 1906. ~~on the subject.~~

By order

Dft) 12/11/07

Sent to the Dy. C. 9.11.07

Secretary.

Muz. 1]

190

From

2.6/s

To

The Hy. Commr

Mysore Dist.

Dated

Despatched 28.5.08.

Received

General No. 5298

No.

Enclosure No. One.

In continuation of this office docket no. 2320 dated 13th November 1907 calling for a report in regard to the Inam lands of the temples at Bannur and Somnathapur in the T. Narsipur Taluk, I am the honor to forward herewith, petition regd. no. 3708 dated 12th inst.

GPL—3,000- 19-9-07

From B. Subba Rao
Son of Battiah praying
for the cancellation of
the lease of the said
lands and to request
that the detailed
report already called
for in the subject may
be submitted without
further delay

By order,

No. 5299. D(4) 29/5/57
Secretary.

Put to the page 29/5/57